



Delaware County Regional Planning Commission

109 North Sandusky Street Delaware, Ohio 43015
740-833-2260 fax 740-833-2259
www.dcrpc.org

Scott B. Sanders, AICP
Executive Director

MINUTES

Thursday, March 27, 2008 at 7:00 PM

Delaware Hayes Services Building,

140 N. Sandusky Street, Room G 35, Delaware, Ohio 43015

I. ADMINISTRATIVE BUSINESS

- Call to order
- Roll Call
- Approval of February 28, 2008 RPC Minutes
- Executive Committee Minutes of March 19, 2008
- Statement of Policy

II. VARIANCES *(none)*

III. ZONING MAP/TEXT AMENDMENTS

08-08 ZON Berlin Twp. Zoning Commission – text amendments – Articles V, VI, XXI-XXX

09-08 ZON Kingston Twp. Zoning Commission – Comprehensive Plan review

IV. SUBDIVISION PROJECTS

Township

Lots/Acres

Preliminary

02-08		Ranbridge Ravines	Berlin	10 lots / 26.93 acres
03-08	T	Riverside Reserve	Concord	05 lots / 10.16 acres
08-07		Sherwood Hills	Scioto	75 lots / 269.49 acres

Preliminary/Final *(none)*

Final *(none)*

T=TABLED, W=WITHDRAWN

V. EXTENSIONS *(none)*

VI. OTHER BUSINESS

- Executive Committee member election
- Consideration for approval: ESRI maintenance agreement (\$4,085)
- Consideration for approval: 2008 Liability Insurance premium (\$8,257)
- Consideration to initiate By-Laws amendments

VII. POLICY / EDUCATION DISCUSSION

- MORPC's Capital Ways 2008-2030 Regional Transportation Plan presentation

VIII. RPC STAFF AND MEMBER NEWS *(none)*

I. ADMINISTRATIVE BUSINESS

▪ **Call to Order**

Chairwoman Foust called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

▪ **Roll Call**

Representatives: Rick Sedlacek, Dale Johnson, Fred Fowler, Steve Burke, Sharon Hough, Joe Clase, Holly Foust, Charles Heimlich, Dick Gladman, Eric Fischer, Lloyd Shoaf, Yvonne Clippinger, Tom Zalewski, Bonnie Newland and Doug Price. Alternates: Pat Blayney, Dave Stites, Carolyn VanBrimmer, James Hatten, and Sandra Stults. Arrived after roll call: Jim Ward (R). Staff: Scott Sanders, Paul Deel, Da-Wei Liou and Stephanie Matlack.

▪ **Approval of the February 28, 2008 RPC Minutes**

Mr. Blayney made a motion to approve the minutes from the February meeting. Mr. Sedlacek seconded the motion. VOTE: Unanimously For, 0 Opposed. Motion carried.

▪ **March 19, 2008 Executive Committee Minutes**

1. Call to order

Vice-Chairperson Steve Burke called the meeting to order at 8:30 a.m. *Present:* Steve Burke, Dick Gladman, Lloyd Shoaf and Jim Ward. *Staff:* Scott Sanders and Stephanie Matlack.

2. Approval of Executive Committee Minutes

a. February 20, 2008 – *Mr. Gladman made a motion to approve the minutes from the February meeting. Mr. Shoaf seconded the motion. VOTE: Unanimously For, 0 Opposed. Motion carried.*

3. Old Business (none)

4. New Business

a. Financial / Activity Reports for February 2008

REGIONAL PLANNING RECEIPTS		JANUARY	YTD TOTAL
General Fees (Lot Split)	(4201)	\$205.00	\$1,230.00
Fees A (Site Review)	(4202)		\$810.00
Insp. Fees (Lot Line Transfer)	(4203)	\$40.00	\$40.00
Membership Fees	(4204)	\$24,102.08	\$221,987.08
Planning Surcharge (Twp. Plan. Assist.)	(4205)	\$1,187.24	\$2,336.71
Assoc. Membership	(4206)		
General Sales	(4220)	\$132.00	\$267.00
Charges for Serv. A (Prel. Appl.)	(4230)		\$900.00
Charges for Serv. B (Final. Appl.)	(4231)	\$4,700.00	\$8,350.00
Charges for Serv. C (Ext. Fee)	(4232)		
Charges for Serv. D (Table Fee)	(4233)	\$200.00	\$400.00
Charges for Serv. E (Appeal/Var.)	(4234)		\$300.00
OTHER DEPT. RECEIPTS			

Health Dept. Fees	(4242)		\$19,550.00
Soil & Water Fees	(4243)		\$500.00
MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE			
Other Reimbursements	(4720)		
Other Reimbursements A	(4721)		
Other Misc. Revenue (GIS maps)	(4730)		\$6.00
Misc. Non Revenue Receipts	(4733)		
TOTAL RECEIPTS		\$30,566.32	\$256,676.79

Balance after receipts	\$278,802.90
Expenditures	- \$ 37,640.82
End of February balance	\$241,162.08

Mr. Gladman made a motion to approve the financial reports for February. Mr. Shoaf seconded the motion. VOTE: Unanimously For, 0 Opposed. Motion carried.

- b. March RPC Preliminary Agenda – Mr. Sanders explained that the March agenda includes 3 Preliminary applications; Ranbridge Ravines, Riverside Reserve, and Sherwood Hills. He explained that Riverside Ravines may need to ask for a tabling to work out sanitary issues.
- c. Consideration for recommendation of approval: ESRI maintenance \$4,085.00
Mr. Gladman made a motion to recommend approval of the ESRI maintenance expenditure of \$4,085.00. Mr. Shoaf seconded the motion. VOTE: Unanimously For, 0 Opposed. Motion carried.
- d. Consideration for recommendation of approval: 2008 Liability Insurance \$8,257.00
Mr. Gladman made a motion to recommend approval of the 2008 Liability insurance premium payment of \$8,257.00. Mr. Shoaf seconded the motion. VOTE: Unanimously For, 0 Opposed. Motion carried. (Note: This premium is down from 2007 which was \$10,193)

5. Other Business

- a. By-Laws review – Mr. Sanders stated that Peter Griggs with Loveland & Brosius law firm has reviewed and agreed to the proposed amendments to the By-Laws. Mr. Griggs suggested amendments to Art. VIII, Sections 2 and 3 regarding meeting procedures. He recommends a separate resolution for meeting procedures. Mr. Sanders explained that meeting procedures were introduced some time ago but were never acted upon. He would present the proposed meeting procedure resolution to the Executive Committee at the April meeting.

Mr. Gladman made a motion to recommend initiating the proposed amendments at the March RPC meeting. Mr. Shoaf seconded the motion. VOTE: Unanimously For, 0 Opposed. Motion carried.

6. Personnel (none)

- 7. Adjourn – At 9:25 a.m., Mr. Gladman made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Shoaf seconded the motion. VOTE: Unanimously For, 0 Opposed. Motion carried.

The next Executive Committee meeting will be Wednesday, April 16, 2008 at 8:30 a.m. at 109 North Sandusky Street, Delaware, Ohio, 43015

- **Statement of Policy**

As is the adopted policy of the Regional Planning Commission, all applicants will be granted an opportunity to make their formal presentation. The audience will then be granted an opportunity to speak, at which time the chair will allow questions from the members of the Commission. This policy was adopted by the Regional Planning Commission to provide for the orderly discussion of business scheduled for consideration. The Chairperson may limit repetitive debate.

II. VARIANCES (none)

III. ZONING MAP/TEXT AMENDMENTS

08-08 ZON Berlin Twp. Zoning Commission – text amendments – Articles V, VI, XXI-XXX

I. Introduction: The Zoning Commission initiated several changes to the township’s zoning text, initiated at its March 11th hearing. Staff has been working with the township to draft these amendments. It should be noted that the comments below represent a brief summary of the changes and not a complete listing of amendments.

Changes were submitted to the County Prosecutor’s office and recommended changes have been made to the following Articles:

- **Article V Districts and Boundaries**
Adds a reference to the “Transitional Planned Unit Development” Article to the tables of districts. This district pulls multi-family use out of the Planned Commercial and places it in its own district. The regulations regarding the district have already been reviewed.
- **Article VI Application of Resolution**
Allows residential zoning permits to be extended 6 months beyond the 1-year limit if progress is being made and allows commercial zoning permits to be extended 12 months beyond the 1-year limit if progress is being made.
- **Article XXI General Development Standards**
Divided the parking requirements of retail stores into Large Retail (65,000+ sf at 5 spaces per 1000 gross leaseable area) and Specialty Retail (65,000- sf at 5 spaces per 2000 gross leaseable area);
Requires structure separation at 25 feet (removes language allowing 15-foot setbacks if masonry/fire walls are included. This could be approached by using a divergence;
Requires that all drainage conditions adhere to and be approved by the SWCD;
Requires steel containers for construction debris;
Exempts satellite dishes that are 1 meter or smaller (39.37 inches) per FTC requirements;
Requires street sign poles to be made of galvanized steel or comparable/better material.

- Article XXII Sign and Billboard Regulations
Permitted signs: clarifies name and address of occupant signs;
Permitted signs: allows public or institutional event temporary signs;
Permitted signs: allows neon “open” signs with limitations;
Permitted signs: allows garage or yard sale signs with limitations;
Permit required: restructures and adds to the “Commercial or industrial display sign” regulations;
General Regulations: establishes the aggregate sign are of a single commercial use at no larger than 1200 square feet;
General Regulations: allows a spinning barber pole as an exception to the prohibition against moving signs;
Deletes a table that was inconsistent with other parts of the sign code.
- Article XXIV Non-Conforming Uses
Adds word “expanded” to the definition of “enlargement” of a non-conforming use;
- Article XXVII Amendments
Changes notice from a 300-foot perimeter to a 200-foot perimeter;
“Clerk” references to “fiscal officer”;

The following Articles were reviewed for consistency, formatting and grammar, but include no significant changes:

- Article XXIII Landscaping
- Article XXV Zoning Inspector
- Article XXVI Zoning Commission
- Article XXVIII Board of Zoning Appeals
- Article XXIX Enforcement
- Article XXX Severability and Repeal

II. DCRPC Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends **Approval** for the proposed text changes in the Berlin Township’s zoning code to the DCRPC, the Berlin Township Zoning Commission and the Berlin Township Trustees.

Commission / Public Comments

There were no comments from the public.

Mr. Gladman made a motion to recommend approval of the Berlin Township zoning code text changes. Mr. Blayney seconded the motion. VOTE: Unanimously For, 0 Opposed. Motion carried.

09-08 ZON Kingston Twp. Zoning Commission – Comprehensive Plan review

Applicant: Kingston Township Zoning Commission

I. Introduction

The township zoning commission initiated a comprehensive planning process in 2001, utilizing DCRPC staff. After completion, development pressures in the township took precedent over adoption of the plan. Recently, the township has reviewed the draft and updated information where needed.

II. Vision statement

Ultimately, we would like Kingston Township to be a community that retains large lots, and a low residential density (generally less than one unit per 2 acres) in a rural setting with agriculture and significant permanent open space.

The rural character of the township will be maintained with a concerted effort to preserve open space, natural features of land, and farmland preservation with an emphasis on large residential lots. Rural roads would for the most part remain narrow two lane roads, yet safely carry local traffic. They would have a rough edge, with fencing that reminds us of the rural past, and mature landscaping to replace fence/tree rows if they are removed as part of planned developments.

Primary conservation features must be prioritized as restricted, permanent open space and preserved as the township develops in this order; #1 woodlands, #2 wildlife habitats, #3 quality wetland buffers and #4 riparian zones. Secondary conservation features including floodways, scenic views and vistas, and sloping land must also be considered as restricted, permanent open space. Prime farmland and cultural resources (historic, archaeological or of cultural value) that give a sense of our heritage should be preserved as part of all new developments.

There should be a variety of housing choices and price ranges, and adequate infrastructure to serve new development. We would like to see a diversity of housing types to meet different housing needs (i.e., older adults, empty nesters, individuals and families). There should be a balance of commercial, residential and recreational uses; commercial should be developed for a broader tax base, but should be in very few select areas buffered from exclusively residential areas.

We want to live in a community where growth is balanced with the conservation and enhancement of rural landscapes, agriculture, cultural and heritage resources, and the environment.

III. Objectives of the Comprehensive Plan (summary)

Sub Area I – Upper Alum Creek District - 991 acres

The plan recommends a **minimum lot size of 1.95 acres** for all lands within this sub area. This is intended to limit the disturbance to the natural ecosystem and the preservation of groundwater. The Township should encourage conservation subdivision (50 percent open space) guidelines that promote natural landscapes (see Chapter 15) at the underlying density (1 unit / 1.95 acre). Tree preservation is encouraged to reduce stormwater runoff and protect surface and ground water quality.

A streamside “No-build” buffer is also recommended within the district for the protection of the Alum Creek and its wildlife. This buffer would extend 120’ from the normal high water line.

Further preservation of natural areas in the township could be achieved through any or all of the following:
(Source: Model Watercourse Protections MORPC 1999)

1. Identify and catalog the community's environmentally sensitive areas.
2. Establish a land trust to acquire and accept development rights and easements to unique natural areas such as scenic views, woodlands, and wetlands.
3. Cooperate with other public and private agencies interested in protecting the critical resources of the township.

Sub Area II – Agricultural Heartland - 8,604 acres

The plan recommends this area to be the agricultural heart of the township. Due to the high seasonal water table of soils and lack of sanitary sewer, the minimum lot size for single-family residences should be **1.95 acres**. To preserve agriculture, **Conservation Subdivision Development could be encouraged at 1 unit per 1.95 acres gross density** with contiguous open space preserved for agriculture. Development rights could be transferred from agricultural lands to directly abutting, adjacent tracts for Farm Village developments, thus saving this area as a permanent agricultural and low-density core of the Township.

- The 2001 Delaware County Thoroughfare Plan proposes a new interchange at I-71 and SR 521. If the proposed interchange is constructed within the planning period, there may be an opportunity for limited planned commercial to serve area residents and traveling public. At the present time, the Director of ODOT opposes any new interchanges, so this may not occur.
- The primary use for the Agricultural Heartland will be for farm and accessory uses.
- Discourage multiple, road-frontage lot splits along SR 521. If developments are proposed, new streets should be constructed internal to the development.
- A tract on the northeast corner of SR 521 and Carter's Corner Road, adjacent to the existing Township Hall should be considered as a possible location for a future Township park and community facility campus. The tract is centrally located, large and flat enough for active recreation and community facilities, and is easily accessible. It would also afford the opportunity to preserve the historic Kingston Central School.

Sub Area III – Estate Transition District - 1,994 acres

The plan recommends a **minimum lot size of 1.95 acres** if served by on-site septic systems. However, to help preserve open space and protect critical resources, **Conservation Subdivision Developments** (50 percent open space) could be encouraged **at the underlying density** with on-lot sewage disposal.

Sub Area IV – Little Walnut District - 3,546 acres

The plan recommends a **minimum lot size of 1.95 acres** for all lands within this sub area. This is intended to limit the disturbance to the natural ecosystem and the preservation of groundwater. The Township should encourage **conservation subdivision** (50 percent open space) guidelines that promote natural landscapes (see Chapter 15) **at the underlying density (1 unit/1.95 acre)**. Tree preservation is encouraged to reduce storm water runoff and protect surface and ground water quality.

A streamside “No-build” buffer is also recommended within the district for the protection of the Little Walnut Creek and its wildlife. This buffer would extend 120' from the normal high water line.

Further preservation of natural areas in the township could be achieved through any or all of the following:
(Source: Model Watercourse Protections MORPC 1999)

1. Identify and catalog the community's environmentally sensitive areas.
2. Establish a land trust to acquire and accept development rights and easements to unique natural areas such as scenic views, woodlands, and wetlands.

3. Cooperate with other public and private agencies interested in protecting the critical resources of the township.

IV. Staff Comment

Staff recently reviewed the plan and made recommendations, which have been included in this draft. To a great extent, the plan is similar to the draft from 2002. The year listed throughout the plan should be updated to reflect 2008.

There appears to be a discrepancy between the map and the text with regard to density in the Estate Transition sub-area. The map lists .8 du/acre where the text notes the “underlying density” which would be about .5 du/acre. The text also makes a reference to Conservation Subdivisions within this district as being encouraged using on-lot systems. Experience has shown that Conservation Subdivisions are unlikely without either a centralized sewer system or an alternative combined leaching area. If conservation is truly desired, smaller lot size would need to be allowed and a sewer system should be assumed to be present. To make the text consistent with recent changes to the township’s PRD regulations, the map should reference the “underlying density” like the text. The text should also include the “.5-acre” minimum lot size.

Staff strongly recommends updating the maps in Chapters 7 and 8. These are relatively easy for staff to generate and will show the many changes within the last seven years. In addition to parcel line and right-of-way updates, many of the data layers are much improved from 2001, including wetlands, topography, critical resources, and others.

V. DCRPC Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends **Conditional Approval** of the Kingston Township Comprehensive Plan of 2008 to the DCRPC, the Kingston Township Zoning Commission and the Kingston Township Trustees, *based on the comments in this report.*

Commission / Public Comments

Mr. Burke asked if the 1.95 minimum lot size requirement was based on a previous sewage rule for minimum lot size because that is no longer in the sewage rules. Mr. Sanders said it was in keeping with the majority of the other Township requirements in Delaware County.

Mr. Blayney made a motion for Conditional Approval based on staff comments. Mr. Sedlacek seconded the motion. VOTE: Unanimously For, 0 Opposed. Motion carried.

IV. SUBDIVISION PROJECTS

Preliminary

02-08 **Ranbridge Ravines – Berlin Twp. - 10 lots / 26.93 acres**

Applicant: O'Brien Development

Subdivision Type: Single family residential (CAD)

Location: West side of Lackey Old State Rd, 800' north of Curve Rd, Berlin Twp.

Current Land Use: Vacant

Current Zoning: Farm Residential District (FR-1)

Utilities: Del-Co Water and individual on-lot treatment systems

School District: Olentangy Local School District

Critical Resources: Series of ravines and tributaries to Alum Creek Lake

Engineer: Cornerstone Engineering

I. Staff Comments

Ranbridge Ravines is a 10 lot subdivision on 26.93 acres. It consists of 2 Common Access Driveways (CAD) each serving 5 lots. The lots range from 2.1 acres to 3.2 acres in size and will utilize Del-Co Water and individual on-lot sewage disposal systems. Each CAD is situated along a ridge between the ravines that run west to east. The RPC typically does not support back-to-back CADs. However, the combination of topography, access issues, and offsite improvements encountered when other layouts were considered, make this a viable configuration, in this particular case. Some homes will include walkout basements overlooking the ravines. A drainage and tree preservation easement will be platted over the ravines.

Surrounding land use is single family residential on acreage lots and agriculture along the west side of Lackey Old State Road as well as along Curve Road to the south. Alum Creek State Park is located to the east.

A technical review was held on March 18, 2008, after which the applicant has addressed all of the required changes.

II. Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends *Preliminary Approval* of the **Ranbridge Ravines Subdivision**, to the RPC.

Commission / Public Comments

Mrs. Karen Coffman with Scioto Land Surveying Service was present to represent the applicant.

Mr. Fowler asked if the notes from the Flood Plain office had been addressed on the plan (i.e. labels and zones marked). Mrs. Coffman said that she would make the necessary notes.

Mr. Blayney made a motion for Conditional Approval of Ranbridge Ravines Subdivision, subject to adding the requested notes from the Flood Plain Coordinator. Mr. Sedlacek seconded the motion.

VOTE: Unanimously For, 0 Opposed. Motion carried.

03-08 T Riverside Reserve – Concord Twp. -05 lots / 10.16 acres

Applicant: Vishu Vishwanath

Engineer: Bauer, Davidson, and Merchant Inc.

I. Staff Comments

The applicant is requesting a 90-day tabling to resolve TRC comments. This would be the first tabling of the Riverside Reserve subdivision.

II. Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends *Approval of the 90-day tabling* for **Riverside Reserve** to the RPC.

Commission / Public Comments

Mr. Gladman made a motion to approve the 90-day tabling for Riverside Reserve. Mr. Shoaf seconded the motion. VOTE: Unanimously For, 0 Opposed. Motion carried.

08-07 Sherwood Hills – Scioto Twp. - 75 lots / 269.49 acres

Applicant: Amity Carter, Ltd.

Subdivision Type: Single family residential

Location: North side of US 36, east and west side of SR 257, Scioto Twp.

Current Land Use: Agriculture/woods/farm residence and outbuildings

Current Zoning: Farm Residence District (FR-1)

Utilities: Del-Co Water and individual on-lot treatment systems

School District: Buckeye Valley Local School District

Critical Resources: Series of ravines and tributaries to the Scioto River, 100 year floodplain.

Engineer: ADR and Associates

I. Staff Comments

Sherwood Hills contains 75 lots on 269.49 acres (0.28 units/acre). It is located on the north side of US 36 and includes land on both sides of SR 257. The site is rolling with a series of ravines feeding into the Scioto River to the east. The surrounding land use is predominately agriculture with some large lot single family residences along US 36 and SR 257.

The design includes a network of streets and cul-de-sacs that attempt to minimize the impact on the ravines. Access is provided to US 36 through Robin Hood Lane and to SR 257 from new road Carrington Drive. Huntington Drive connects to the 2 access roads. Derbyshire Drive is a cul-de-sac extending from the north side of Robin Hood Lane. Mansfield Drive (to the west) and Cranbrook Drive (to the north) are stub streets for potential future access to adjacent undeveloped land. The development will include 52.5 acres (19.5 percent) of open space in 6 large reserve lots, including all of the land between SR 257 and the Scioto River. All of the lots are at least 2 acres, in conformance with the FR-1 zoning requirements. Many of the lots will provide walkout basements overlooking one of the ravines. All lots will utilize Del-Co Water and individual on-lot sewage disposal systems. Sherwood Hills will be developed in 4 sections.

A technical review was held on July 17, 2007, after which the applicant has addressed all of the

required changes.

II. Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends *Preliminary Approval* of the **Sherwood Hills Subdivision**, to the RPC.

Commission / Public Comments

Mr. Ryan Badger with ADR and Associates was present to represent the applicant.

Mr. Gordon Williams explained he is a neighboring property owner and asked if the proposal included any signal at US 36/SR 257. Mr. Badger stated that a signal test was conducted and ODOT said that that intersection did not warrant a signal.

Mrs. Stults asked how close to the ravines the houses would be located. Mr. Badger stated that the rear yard set back is 90 feet.

Mrs. Stults asked if the developer has thought about a park land donation. Mr. Badger stated that it was not in the plans yet but could be a possibility in the future. Mrs. Stults asked if there would be a playground area within the development. Mr. Badger said that was no plan for one, just open space.

Mr. Blayney made a motion for Preliminary approval for Sherwood Hills Subdivision. Mr. Sedlacek seconded the motion. VOTE: Majority For, 0 Opposed, 1 Abstained (Sandra Stults). Motion carried.

Preliminary/Final (none)

CONSENT AGENDA

Final (none)

VI. EXTENSIONS (none)

VII. OTHER BUSINESS

- Executive Committee member election
Mr. Fischer made a motion to renominate the current Executive Committee members. Mr. Blayney seconded the motion. VOTE: Unanimously For, 0 Opposed. Motion carried.

Mr. Blayney made a motion to close the nominations. Mr. Sedlacek seconded the motion. VOTE: Unanimously For, 0 Opposed. Motion carried.

Mr. Sedlacek made a motion to elect Holly Foust, Dick Gladman Steve Burke and Lloyd Shoaf to the Executive Committee. Mrs. Hough seconded the motion. VOTE: Unanimously For, 0

Opposed. Motion carried.

- Consideration for approval: ERSI maintenance agreement (\$4,085)
Mr. Shoaf made a motion to approve the ESRI maintenance agreement expenditure of \$4,085.00. Mr. Clase seconded the motion. VOTE: Unanimously For, 0 Opposed. Motion carried.
- Consideration for approval: 2008 Liability Insurance premium (\$8,257)
Mr. Shoaf made a motion to approve the 2008 Liability insurance premium expenditure for \$8,257.00. Mr. Fowler seconded the motion. VOTE: Unanimously For, 0 Opposed. Motion carried.
- Consideration to initiate By-Laws amendments
Mr. Johnson made a motion to initiate and set a hearing for April 24, 2008 to consider proposed amendments to the Regional Planning Commission By-Laws. Mr. Sedlacek seconded the motion. VOTE: Unanimously For, 0 Opposed. Motion carried.

VIII. POLICY / EDUCATION DISCUSSION

- MORPC's Capital Ways 2008-2030 Regional Transportation Plan presentation

Ms. Nancy Reger from the Mid Ohio Regional Planning Commission provided a power point presentation on the Capital Ways 2008-2030 Regional Transportation Plan (see attached).

IX. RPC STAFF AND MEMBER NEWS *(none)*

Having no further business, Mr. Blayney made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 8:10 p.m. Mr. Shoaf seconded the motion. VOTE: Unanimously For, 0 Opposed. Motion carried.

The next meeting of the Delaware County Regional Planning Commission will be Thursday, April 24, 2008, 7:00 PM at the Delaware Hayes Services Building, 140 N. Sandusky Street, Conference Room G-35, Delaware, Ohio 43015.

